GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Rev (1951) Department.

~~Endorsement~~
~~D.O.~~
Memorandum
~~Lodged~~

No. 39100 F/51-

Dated 21-6-51. 195 /.

FURTHER PAPERS.

FOR MYSORE STATE

Revenue Department
Subject.

Assignment -Land -Grant to political sufferers - South Kanara -Kaikal taluk -Mudradi village .S.numbers 232/1 and 3 etc -sale of trees -petition -remarks - submitted

L.Dis.no.b. 1997.51.

Station:Madras.

d/26-5-51

From

Sri A.F. Mascarenhas. I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan .I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue

Read: From the Collector of South Kanara, no.L 13633.51 d/12-5-51.

I enclose a petition submitted through me to the Hon'ble the Minister for Revenue, Government of Madras, by the Members of the South Kanara non official Advisory Committee for grant of lands to political sufferers on beha of the two political sufferers sri Mudradi krishnayya shett and sri Mudradi narayana shetty In B.P.Rt.no.1386 dated 27-3-1951 and G.O.No.Ms.311 Rev d/4-12-50, these two political sufferers were assigned lands subject to the condition that the thick hopea tree growth existing in them will be sold in public auction except for any ten trees whi they may select and have on payment of the seigniorage valu

2.The Committee now desires to bring to the notice of the Hon'ble the Minister for revenue that justice has not been done in these cases and that all trees should have been ordered to be granted only to the assignees on payment of seigniorage value and that no portion of the tree growth should be allowed to be sold in public auction . The Board and the Government have gone into the question in detail on petitions , submitted by the assignees and ordered that each ,of the two assignees should only get any 10 trees the may select on payment of seigniorage value and that the remaining tree growth should be sold in public auction I submit that there are no grounds for revising the orders passed by the government already.

From

The Members of the Advisory Committee for
Grant of land to Political Sufferers,
Mangalore.

To

Hon. Sri. H.Seetharama Reddi,
Minister for Revenue,
Government of Madras.

Through

The Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.

Sir,

We beg to bring to your kind notice two very hard cases of political sufferers being refused the use of the trees in the lands sanctioned to them by the Advisory Committee, even when they agreed to pay the seigniorage fees for them, unlike several other political sufferers who were granted land with trees in them on getting seigniorage fees from them.

The above two political sufferers who were refused the use of trees are Sri Mudradi Krishnaiya Shetty and Mudradi Narayana Shetty. Both of them are poor people and the plots assigned to them being situated in the sub-Ghat area the trees there do not fetch much value as it is in the coastal belt. Generally trees in Ghat area are destroyed when the land is brought under cultivation. Now there being some value for the trees these poor political sufferers will get some benefit which will help them initially to improve the lands.

The assignment of land S.No.232-1, 2, and 3 and 243--9 acres and 68 cents in Karkal Taluk, Mudradi village and S.No.321-2 (carved out of S.No.196-1) in the same village measuring 10 acres were made to Sris Mudradi Krishnaiya Shetty and Mudradi Narayana Shetty respectively on 30-12-1949 and 31-1-50. On account of some objection from the Forest Department which was withdrawn subsequently these two cases were kept pending whereas much later applicants were assigned land with valuable trees on payment of proper seigniorage fees. Therefore it has caused great heart-burning in these two political sufferers.

The Advisory Committee feels that these are two really hard cases which deserve reconsideration and favourable order. Leaving aside the other applicants, one Sri Vadiraja Kalkur who was assigned a plot very close to the plots granted to these two persons, was allowed the use of trees on payment of seigniorage fees. Hence, these two political sufferers also may kindly be granted the use of trees collecting the seigniorage fees from them.

Rev Dept

Memo. No 39100F/51-1 - dated - 21-6-51

Land - (South Kanara) - Kaikal taluk - Mudradi village - S Nos 232/1,2 and 3 etc - Grantes to political Sufferers - Sale of Areca - Petition - Orders.

From the Members of the Advisory Committee for the grant of land to political sufferers Mangalore petition dated 27-4-51 -

From the Bd of Rev & Dis No. B. 1997/51 D/26-5-51

~~The Committee is informed that the~~ Government regret to say that they find no reason to ~~decline~~ reconsider the orders already passed in the matter.

19/6/51

19-6-51

21/6/51

Land - South Kanara - Kaikal taluk - Mudradi village - S. nos. 232/1, 2 and 3 etc - grants to political sufferers - Sale of trees - Petition - order

From the Members of the Advisory Committee for the grant of land to political sufferers Mangalore, petition dated 27-4-'57

From the Board of Rev, L Dis. No B 1997-57 dt. 26-6-57

To HS

Please see the representation from the Members of Non-Official Advisory Committee, Mangalore on p 2 c.f. and the Collector's & the Board's reports on p 1 c.f.

2. The Committee has stated that in the case of two political sufferers Sri. Mudradi Krishnayya and Sri Mudradi Narayana Chetty ~~it has been ordered that~~ lands have been assigned to them ~~subject to the condition that the~~ from standing on ~~which~~ ~~shall not cut~~ trees ~~growth existing in these lands should be sold in public auction except for the few trees which they might select and have on~~ payment of the seigniorage value. The Committee requests that this is an injustice done to the two political sufferers and requests that all the trees standing on the land may be granted to them on payment of the seigniorage value. ~~The Collector~~, for the reasons stated in para 2 of his letter, the GOM considers that there are no grounds for revising the orders passed by the GOI. The Board agrees with the Collector. It is submitted that on a petition received from Sri. Narayana Chetty the question was examined in detail

and it was ordered that there was no reason for interference on this behalf. Please see the notes and orders in Circulation on pp 3-9 ante of (A) In the circumstances there is no case to reconsider the orders already passed on the matter. The Committee may be informed accordingly for orders

M 2-6-69

It is represented to the Committee that several other poll / petitions were pending and many more on them of the collection regarding fees from them. Whether there is also the rule in practice in the matter of was separated from it these

[illegible]
6/6/69

that the land was assigned subject to the payment of Seigniorage value of the trees with that would remain on the land after the trees required by the Forest Dept were removed subsequently as the trees were not required by the Forest Dept they were ordered to be sold in public auction. Presumably in this case, this procedure was adopted as the trees were either very valuable or the difference in the market value and Seigniorage value was not negligible. negligible.

The Advisory committee has no cause to complain at least in the matter of assignment of land to Sri M. Narayana Shetty as it had itself agreed to the grant of the land with only the trees standing on the land In the circumstances there is no case to reconsider the orders already passed in the matter. It is for orders whether the committee may be informed accordingly

17.6.51

17.6.51

SALEM 19 JUN 1951 REVENUE DEPARTMENT